

# Current issues

## Insurance Investment & ALM Panel Discussion: Climate Change



**Nicola Kenyon FIA**  
Head of Insurance Innovation & Change  
[nicola.kenyon@hymans.co.uk](mailto:nicola.kenyon@hymans.co.uk)



**Gerard Anderson FIA**  
Climate Risk Consultant  
[gerard.anderson@hymans.co.uk](mailto:gerard.anderson@hymans.co.uk)

Over the summer of 2021, the Insurance Investment & ALM team at Hymans Robertson ran a climate change survey for the insurance industry, with around 20 participants including life insurers, composite firms, and reinsurers.

The survey revealed a number of interesting findings – and the team brought some of the key issues to our panel discussion at the 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Insurance & Financial Services Seminar in London on 2<sup>nd</sup> November. The panel explored the challenges faced by institutional investors as a result of climate change. In this article, we summarise the most interesting insights from the discussion.

The participating panellists were:

- **Nicola Kenyon** – Chair of the Panel
- **Maeve Sherry** – Operational Sponsor of Climate Risks & Opportunities project at [Aviva](#)
- **Neil Mitchell** – Actuary & PhD Candidate in Climate Change Finance and Governance at the University of Manchester's Global Development Institute
- **Gerard Anderson** – Climate Risk Consultant at Hymans Robertson

**Given the onerousness of the regulatory requirements of climate change and with the PRA focusing on managing climate risk, is climate change purely a risk for institutional investors to manage or should all institutional investors be playing an active role in mitigating severe climate change?**

To meet net-zero emissions in line with the Paris Climate Accord, all sectors of the global economy have to embark on an unparalleled transformation. This means that not only do firms have to manage short-term risks in their own portfolios, but they also have to respond to the systemic challenge of stewarding the transition to a Net Zero climate resilient economy. As managers of a significant proportion of the world's assets, insurers and other institutional investors are key players in ensuring an orderly climate transition and have a corporate responsibility to play an active role.

However, there are a range of factors that may prevent institutional investors from prioritising climate impact in their investment decisions:

- Investors' fiduciary duty may not necessarily align with wider climate impact goals – investing for the greater good in 40-50 years' time may be difficult to justify for some firms, if the primary focus is maximising more short-term profits for shareholders.
- Although there is plenty of evidence that ESG funds do as well if not better than non-ESG funds, there is less evidence and research into impact investing. It is unclear whether returns would be sacrificed through impact investing.
- Whilst this is changing, there remains insufficient low-cost liquid market instruments or established secondary markets for investing in climate-friendly assets. Collaboration with Development Finance Institutions could reduce this challenge.
- Insufficient data and metrics are a key barrier to pricing climate risk – integral to the proper functioning of markets and efficient capital allocation.

Given the scale of the challenge, it is vital that institutional investors play an active role in influencing an appropriate regulatory landscape for the whole of the industry to work with. Collaborative initiatives, such as the [UNPRI](#) and [NGFS](#), are crucial in addressing the challenges faced by institutional investors. Through collaboration, a series of monitoring regimes and sanctions, all investors will be encouraged to act.

**The survey revealed a split across the industry on the merits of divestment and exclusions. Some favoured stewardship while others saw it as a key component of their overall climate risk strategy. Is divestment or exclusions the most effective way of managing climate risk and taking action?**

Divestment and exclusions can be an effective way of managing climate risk simply through avoiding it. However, there is [evidence](#) that divesting is not as effective as engagement in influencing behaviour in mature markets. In mature markets, unless everyone divests from the fossil fuel industry, capital can and will be sourced elsewhere. In contrast, in immature markets, positive screening can improve capital availability for sustainable projects that may not otherwise be available.

In many situations, engagement can be a more effective means of driving change than divestment – especially when investors are able to collaborate. Potential engagement activities include transition roadmaps, science-based targets, interim performance checks and ultimately holding the Board of the invested companies to account. Many firms are using engagement to encourage companies to act, and then divestment to punish those do not. One example is Aviva's [climate engagement escalation programme](#).

Aside from making a positive impact, investors who engage with their invested firms tend to experience less downside risk and volatility. Climate impact and risk management are not necessarily exclusive, it is possible to achieve both through a thoughtful combination of engagement and divestment.

**Green-washing has gained a lot of prominence in recent years as investors turn to green assets to manage climate risk. Similarly, there is much discussion over whether ESG assets generate better returns than non-ESG assets. What is the evidence on returns and on green-washing and how do we guard against it?**

Investors are increasingly turning towards ESG and responsible investing – however, questions are being raised about the true greenness of certain investment products, as in some cases it may be nothing more than clever marketing. To guard against greenwashing, there needs to be a robust means of identifying green assets and greater transparency around these assets. Increasing regulation around green assets is crucial to ensuring green and ESG labels are appropriately used. For example, when the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation became mandatory in the EU, [European investment managers stripped the ESG label off of \\$2trn in assets](#).

Considering returns on green assets on the stock market, according to research undertaken by Morningstar, ESG-friendly securities have seen better than market returns both in bull and bear markets over the last decade or so. The actual reason for this is debatable – some say that recent outsized returns are simply due to the increasing demand for ESG-friendly funds, especially from retail investors and pensioners.

**The views expressed by panel members were their own and not necessarily those of their employer.** Current issues **02**

ESG investing has also influenced bond markets – recently the UK government issued its first Green gilt totalling £10bn. The demand was enormous, with over £100bn worth of bids for the 12-year debt – resulting in yields on the debt of c.2.5bps less than non-green equivalents. Some criticism of the UK green gilt issuance was that the amount earmarked for investing in climate friendly activities was already decided on, and none of the proceeds of the green gilt issuance was earmarked or ringfenced for green spending.

Whilst returns on green assets may be driven by high demand in the short-term, ESG considerations are likely to be a key factor in influencing returns over the longer term. Firms with good climate risk management practices, lower emissions and a forward-looking, future-proof, progressive mentality may be more sustainable, profitable and financially stable for longer.

**At Hymans Robertson we are supporting our clients to navigate the ever-changing regulatory landscape. Climate change is just one element in a broader set of Responsible Investment considerations. Hymans Robertson has a wealth of experience assisting financial firms and pension funds with their climate-related disclosures, roadmaps to net-zero, scenario analysis and many other climate considerations. If you would like to discuss with one of our specialists, or you would like to participate in one of our seminar panel discussions next year, please [get in touch](#).**

London | Birmingham | Glasgow | Edinburgh

T 020 7082 6000 | [www.hymans.co.uk](http://www.hymans.co.uk)

**This document is intended for the use of insurers and reinsurers only. The information contained herein is published only for informational purposes and does not constitute investment advice. The views expressed by panel members were their own and not necessarily those of their employer.**

This document has been compiled by Hymans Robertson LLP who reserve all rights to it and is based upon their understanding of legislation and events as at the date of publication. It is designed to be a general information summary and may be subject to change. It is not a definitive analysis of the subject(s) covered or specific to the circumstances of any particular person, scheme, business or organisation. The material and charts included herewith are provided as background information for illustration purposes only. The information contained is not intended to constitute advice, guidance or a recommendation to purchase (or not purchase) products and/or services, or to make (or not make) investments and should therefore not be relied on. This document and any views expressed therein should not be considered a substitute for professional advice in relation to individual objectives and circumstances. Where the subject of this document involves legal issues you may wish to take legal advice.

This document should not be shared with a third party unless it is appropriate for that audience and acknowledgment of the source is given in a prominent position and (where only part of this document is shared) making the document available in its entirety. Hymans Robertson LLP accepts no liability for errors or omissions, for results obtained from using information or reliance on any statement or opinion contained in this document, including where this document is provided to a third party (whether with or without the consent of Hymans Robertson LLP).

This information is not to be interpreted as an offer, solicitation or recommendation to make (or not make) any specific investments or product decisions. All forecasts are based on reasonable belief. Please note the value of investments, and income from them, may fall as well as rise. You should not make any assumptions about the future performance of any investments or products based on information contained in this document. This includes but is not limited to equities, government or corporate bonds, currency, derivatives, property and other alternative investments, whether held directly or in a pooled or collective investment vehicle. Further, investments in developing or emerging markets may be more volatile and less marketable than in mature markets. Exchange rates may also affect the value of an investment. As a result, an investor may not get back the full amount originally invested. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance.

Hymans Robertson LLP (registered in England and Wales - One London Wall, London EC2Y 5EA - OC310282) is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and licensed by the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries for a range of investment business activities. A member of Abelica Global.